arXiv:1408.4299v2 [math.RT] 11 Jan 2015

GAMMA FACTORS OF DISTINGUISHED REPRESENTATIONS OF $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$

ALEXANDER KEMARSKY

ABSTRACT. Let (π, V) be a $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished, irreducible, admissible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, let π' be an irreducible, admissible, $\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished representation of $\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{C})$, and let ψ be a non-trival character of $\mathbb{C}$ which is trivial on $\mathbb{R}$. We prove that the Rankin-Selberg gamma factor at $s = \frac{1}{2}$ is $\gamma(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \times \pi'; \psi) = 1$. The result follows as a simple consequence from the characterisation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished representations in terms of their Langlands data.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
Acknowledgements 3
2. Notation and preliminaries 3
3. Some matrix spaces decompositions 5
4. Proof of Theorem 1.3 8
5. Calculation of Rankin-Selberg Gamma factors 11
6. Integral representation of Whittaker functions 15
7. Archimedean Asai integrals 19
8. Equality of two functionals 21
Appendix A. Generic Langlands quotient 23
Appendix B. Gamma Factors: Converse direction 23
References 27

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $G_n(\mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, $G_n(\mathbb{R}) = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. Let $B_n = B_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices in $G_n(\mathbb{C})$. Denote complex conjugation by $x \to \bar{x}$. We identify $G_n(\mathbb{C})/G_n(\mathbb{R})$ with the space of matrices

$$X_n = \Big\{ x \in G_n(\mathbb{C}) \Big| x \cdot \bar{x} = I_n \Big\},$$

via the isomorphism $gG_n(\mathbb{R}) \mapsto g \cdot \bar{g}^{-1}$, see [S, Chapter 3, Section 1, Lemma 1] for the proof of the surjectivity of this map. Given a representation π of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$, the representation $\bar{\pi}$ is defined by the formula $\bar{\pi}(g) := \pi(\bar{g})$.
The group $G_n(\mathbb{C})$ acts on X_n by the twisted conjugation, where the action is induced by the natural action $l(g)g'G_n(\mathbb{R}) := gg'G_n(\mathbb{R})$. Namely, we have

$$g'G_n(\mathbb{R}) \leftrightarrow g' \cdot \bar{g'}^{-1} := x \text{ and } l(g)(g'G_n(\mathbb{R})) := gg'G_n(\mathbb{R}) \leftrightarrow gg'\bar{g'}^{-1}\bar{g}^{-1}.$$

Date: January 13, 2015.

Hence, the action of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$ on X is given by $l(g)x := gx\bar{g}^{-1}$.
For a topological vector space V, we denote by V^* the topological dual of V, i.e., the space of all continuous maps from V to $\mathbb{C}$. In this paper we work with the category of the admissible smooth Fréchet representations of moderate growth, see [Wal2, Section 11.5], see also [AGS, Section 2.1].
A representation (π, V) of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$ is called $G_n(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished if there exists a non-zero continuous linear map $L : V \to \mathbb{C}$, such that

$$L(\pi(h)v) = L(v) \quad \forall v \in V, h \in G_n(\mathbb{R}).$$

We denote the space of all such linear maps by $\left(V^*\right)^{G_n(\mathbb{R})}$.
Let $\psi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a non-trivial unitary character which is trivial on $\mathbb{R}$, for example

$$\psi(x) = e^{\pi(x-\bar{x})}.$$

We let $U_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the group of upper triangular matrices with unit diagonal and we denote by $\theta_{\psi,n}$ the character $\theta_{\psi,n} : U_n(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ defined by

$$\theta_{\psi,n}(u) = \psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_{i,i+1}\right).$$

A ψ-form on V is a nonzero continuous linear form $\lambda : V \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\lambda(\pi(u)v) = \theta_{\psi,n}(u)v,$$

for each $v \in V$ and each $u \in U_n(\mathbb{C})$. We say that π is a generic representation if there exists a ψ-form on V. We prove the following theorem

Theorem 1.1. *Let π be an irreducible, $G_t(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished representation of $G_t(\mathbb{C})$, and π' be an irreducible, $G_r(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished representation of $G_r(\mathbb{C})$. Then the value of the Rankin-Selberg gamma factor at $s = \frac{1}{2}$ is*

$$\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \times \pi'; \psi\right) = 1.$$

See Section 5 for the definition of Rankin-Selberg integrals and Rankin-Selberg gamma factors.
We will deduce Theorem 1.1 from the characterization of irreducible $G_n(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished representations of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$. Actually, we will prove the following analogue of [AL, theorem B.1]

Theorem 1.2. *Let (π, V) be an irreducible, admissible, generic and $G_n(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished representation of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then $\bar{\pi} \simeq \tilde{\pi}$, where $\tilde{\pi}$ is the contragredient representation of π.*

Let χ be a character of B_n. We will denote by $I(\chi)$ the normalized parabolic induction representation $I(\chi) := Ind_{B_n}^{G_n(\mathbb{C})}(\chi)$ of the character $\chi = (\chi_1, ..., \chi_n)$ from $B_n(\mathbb{C})$ to $G_n(\mathbb{C})$. We remind to the reader that this space consists of smooth functions such that $f(bg) = \left(\chi\delta^{1/2}\right)(b)f(g)$ for all $b \in B_n(\mathbb{C})$ and all $g \in G_n(\mathbb{C})$. The group $G_n(\mathbb{C})$ acts on $I(\chi)$ by right translations. Let S_n be the group of permutations on n elements. It acts naturally on the characters of B_n. Theorem 1.2 follows from the following

Theorem 1.3. *Let (π, V) be an irreducible and $G_n(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished representation of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2, ..., \chi_n)$ be a character of B_n such that*

$$|\chi(t)| = |t_1|^{\lambda_1}|t_2|^{\lambda_2}...|t_n|^{\lambda_n} \text{ with } \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq ... \geq \lambda_n.$$

Suppose π is the Langlands quotient of $I(\chi)$, that is, the unique irreducible quotient of $I(\chi)$. Then there exists an involution $w \in S_n$ such that $w\chi = \overline{(\chi^{-1})}$. Moreover, we can choose this w such that for every fixed point i of w we have $\chi_i(-1) = 1$.

Remark 1.4. a) Note that the conditions $w(i) = i$, $\chi_{w(i)} = \bar{\chi_i}^{-1}$, and $\chi_i(-1) = 1$ imply that χ_i is $\mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished. Indeed, $\chi_i = \bar{\chi_i}^{-1}$ implies that χ_i is $\mathbb{R}_+$-invariant. Together with the condition $\chi_i(-1) = 1$ this means that χ_i is $\mathbb{R}^\times (= \mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{R}))$-invariant.
b) Let (π, V) be an irreducible representation of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$. The existence of $I(\chi)$ with the properties stated in Theorem 1.3 is a well-known fact, see [Wal1, Theorem 5.4.1]. Also, for a given π, such a character χ is unique. It is well-known that the Langlands quotient of $I(\chi)$ is generic if and only if $I(\chi)$ is irreducible, see Appendix. Therefore, Theorem 1.2 follows from Theorem 1.3.

A similar result was proven by Marie-Noelle Panichi in her Ph.D., see [Pan, Theorem 3.3.6]. As an application of our classification we deduce Theorem 1.1. In section 8 we prove a new type of integral identity for Whittaker functions on generic $G_n(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished representations. This proves [LM, Assumption 5.2]. In the p-adic case a similar identity was proven in [O, Corollary 7.2]. Our proof is similar to the proof in the p-adic case, but in the archimedean case there are many analytical difficulties. We overcome them in sections 5-7.
Finally, in Appendix B we prove a converse type theorem. We prove that if $(\pi, V) = Ind(\chi)$ is an irreducible, generic, admissible unitary representation of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that for every unitary character $\chi'(z) = (z/|z|)^{2m}$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\gamma(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \times \chi', \psi) = 1,$$

then π is $G_n(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished. The proof is done by a combinatorics argument combined with the Tadic-Vogan classification of the unitary dual of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Omer Offen for posing to me this question and providing many explanations of the subject.
I am grateful to Dmitry Gourevitch and Erez Lapid for many fruitful discussions and their help.
During the conference in Jussieu, June 2014 I told the results of this paper to Herve Jacquet. I would like to thank him, his comments were very helpful.
I also wish to thank Avraham Aizenbud, Uri Bader, Max Gurevich, Job Kuit, Nadir Matringe, Amos Nevo, Henrik Schlictkrull and Dror Speiser on useful conversations and remarks.
The research was supported by ISF grant No. 1394/12.

2. Notation and preliminaries

Let F be either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. Let $M(a \times b, F)$ be the space of matrices with a rows and b columns with entries in F. Let $\eta_n = (0, 0, \ldots, 1) \in M(1 \times n, \mathbb{R})$. Let $P_n(\mathbb{R})$ be the

subgroup of $G_n(\mathbb{R})$ consisting of all $n \times n$ matrices with the last row equal to η_n.
Let $U_n(F)$ be the group of all upper triangular matrices in $M(n \times n, F)$ with unit diagonal. Let

$$K_n = \{g \in G_n(\mathbb{C}) : g \cdot {}^t\bar{g} = I\}$$

be the standard maximal compact subgroup of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$.
For V a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{R}$ we denote by $\mathcal{S}(V)$ the Schwartz space of all infinitely differentiable functions $f : V \to \mathbb{C}$ of rapid decay.
Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(V)$, where $V = M(a \times b, \mathbb{C})$. We denote by $\hat{\Phi}$ the Fourier transform of Φ. It is a function on the same space, defined by

$$\hat{\Phi}(X) = \int \Phi(Y)\psi(-Tr({}^tXY))dY.$$

For $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $g \in G_n(\mathbb{C})$ we denote by $(R(g)\Phi)(x) := \Phi(xg)$ the right translation of Φ by g.
For $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$ we denote by $|z| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ the usual absolute value of z and by $|z|_{\mathbb{C}} = |z|^2 = x^2 + y^2$ the square of the usual absolute value. Note that $\mu(zA) = |z|_{\mathbb{C}}\mu(A)$, where $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ is an open set and μ is a Haar measure on $\mathbb{C}$.
Let $W_n = S_n$ and let $W_{n,2} = \{w \in W_n : w^2 = 1\}$ be the set of involutions in W_n. For $w \in W_{n,2}$ set

$$I_w = \{(i, j) : i > j, w(i) > w(j)\},$$

and define for any function $\kappa : I_w \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ a character α_κ of B_n by the formula

$$\alpha_\kappa(\mathrm{diag}(t_1, ..., t_n)) = \prod_{(i,j)\in I_w} [\frac{t_i}{t_j}]^{\kappa(i,j)}.$$

We will identify α_κ with the one-dimensional representation of B_n on the vector space $\mathbb{C}$ with the action of α_κ. By abuse of notation we will denote both the function and the one-dimensional representation by the same letter α_κ.
We refer the reader to the notation of [AL]. For the convenience of the reader we write here notation and formulations of some of the theorems that appear in [AL], in versions that are suitable to this work.
Let G be an arbitrary group.

- For any G-set X and a point $x \in X$, we denote by $G(x)$ the G-orbit of x and by G^x the stabilizer of x.
- For any representation of G on a vector space V and a character χ of G, we denote by $V^{G,\chi}$ the subspace of (G, χ)-equivariant vectors in V.
- Given manifolds $L \subseteq M$, we denote by $N_L^M := \big(T_{M|L}\big)/T_L$ the normal bundle to L in M and by $CN_L^M := (N_L^M)^*$ the conormal bundle. For any point $y \in L$, we denote by $N_{L,y}^M$ the normal space to L in M at the point y and by $CN_{L,y}^M$ the conormal space to L in M at the point y.
- The symmetric algebra of a vector space V will be denoted by

$$Sym(V) = \oplus_{k\geq 0} Sym^k(V).$$

- The Fréchet space of Schwartz functions on a Nash manifold X will be denoted by $\mathcal{S}(X)$ and the dual space of Schwartz distributions will be denoted by $\mathcal{S}^*(X) := \mathcal{S}(X)^*$.

- For any Nash vector bundle E over X we denote by $\mathcal{S}(X,E)$ the space of Schwartz sections of E and by $\mathcal{S}^*(X,E)$ its dual space.

See [AL, p. 309] for more details.
Recall that if X is a smooth manifold and G acts on X, then $X=\bigcup_{i=1}^{l} X_i$ is called a G-invariant stratification if all sets X_i are G-invariant and there is some reordering $X_{i_1}, X_{i_2}, ..., X_{i_l}$ of $X_1, ..., X_l$ such that all the sets $X_{i_1}, X_{i_1}\cup X_{i_2}, ..., X_{i_1}\cup X_{i_2}\cup ...\cup X_{i_k}, ..., X=X_{i_1}\cup ...\cup X_{i_l}$ are open in X.

Lemma 2.1. *Let a real algebraic Lie group G act on a real algebraic smooth manifold X. Let $X=\bigcup_{i=1}^{l} X_i$ be a G-invariant stratification. Let χ be a character of G. If*

$$\mathcal{S}^*(X)^{G,\chi}\neq 0,$$

then there exists $1\leq i\leq l$ and $k\geq 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{S}^*(X_i, Sym^k(CN_{X_i}^X))^{G,\chi}\neq 0.$$

This lemma is a special case of [AL, Proposition B.3].

Theorem 2.2. [AL, Theorem B.6] *Let G be a Lie group acting transitively on a smooth manifold Z and let $\varphi: X\to Z$ be a G-equivariant smooth map. Fix $z\in Z$ and let X_z be the fiber of z. Let χ be a tempered character of G* [AGS, Definition 5.1.1]*, and let δ_G and δ_{G_z} be the modulus characters of the groups G and G_z respectively. Then $S^*(X)^{G,\chi}$ is canonically isomorphic to $S^*(X_z)^{G_z,\chi\delta_{G_z}^{-1}\delta_G}$.*
Moreover, for any G-equivariant bundle E on X, the space $S^*(X,E)^{G,\chi}$ is canonically isomorphic to $S^*(X_z,E|X_z)^{G_z,\chi\delta_{G_z}^{-1}\delta_G}$.

3. Some matrix spaces decompositions

In this section we obtain some matrix spaces decompositions that will be used in this work. In the following lemma we analyze the structure of orbits of the action of the Borel subgroup B_n on X_n. Let $W_n=S_n$ be the Weyl group of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 3.1. *There is a bijection between $B_n\backslash X_n=B_n\backslash G_n(\mathbb{C})/G_n(\mathbb{R})$ and the space of involutions $W_{n,2}=\{w\in W_n : w^2=1\}$.*

Proof. Recall that $X_n=\{x\in G_n(\mathbb{C})|x\cdot\bar{x}=I\}$. Let $x\in X_n$. Let

$$T=\{diag(d_1,...,d_n)|\ d_i\in\mathbb{C}^* \text{ for all } i\}$$

be a maximal torus in $G_n(\mathbb{C})$. From [LR, Lemma 4.1.1], see also [Spr], the B_n-orbit of x intersects the normalizer

$$N(T):=\{g\in G_n(\mathbb{C})|gTg^{-1}=T\}.$$

It is a well-known fact that $N(T)=\{d\cdot w|d\in T, w\in W_n\}$. Thus, we may assume $x=dw$, where $w\in W_n$ and $d=diag(d_1,d_2,...,d_n)$. Note that w is uniquely determined by x. Since $x\cdot\bar{x}=I$, we have $dw=w^{-1}\bar{d}^{-1}$. We obtain $w=w^{-1}$ and therefore $w^2=1$, i.e., $w\in W_{n,2}$.
Therefore, we can assume $w\in W_{n,2}$ in the decompostion $x=dw$. On the other hand, it is clear that different involutions $w,w'\in W_{n,2}$ belong to disjoint orbits of B_n. Indeed, $l(b)w:=bw\bar{b}^{-1}\neq w'$ for all $b\in B_n$.
It remains to show that the B_n-orbit of $x=dw$ contains the point w, i.e., there is some $b\in B_n$ such that $\lambda(b)x=w$. Since w is an involution it is enough to check the

claim for 1×1 and 2×2 matrix. For 1×1 matrix $x=(b)_{1\times 1}$, the assumption $x\bar{x}=I$ gives $b\bar{b}=1$, and we want to prove that $b=\mu\bar{\mu}^{-1}$. Clearly, there is such μ.
For a 2×2 matrix of the form $b=\begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 \end{pmatrix}$, the assumption

$$x=b\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & d_1 \\ d_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\in X$$

gives the condition $d_1\bar{d_2}=1$ on the entries d_1,d_2. We seek for an invertible matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_2 \end{pmatrix}$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_2 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & d_1 \\ d_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\mu_1}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\mu_2}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.1}$$

Matrix multiplication gives the condition $d_1\mu_1\bar{\mu_2}^{-1}=1$, and clearly there are such μ_1,μ_2. □

In the next paragraph let us fix n and denote $G=G_n(\mathbb{C}), H=G_n(\mathbb{R})$. Our next goal is to obtain a generalized Cartan decomposition $G=KAH$, where K is a maximal compact subgroup of G consisting of all unitary matrices in G and A is a torus which we will now describe. Let $m=[n/2]$. Note that $H=G^{\sigma}$ and $K=G^{\tau}$, where $\sigma(g)=\bar{g}$ and $\tau(g)=g^*={}^t\bar{g}$. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of G over the field $\mathbb{C}$. Following [Kob, Fact 2.1,page 7], we take $\mathfrak{a}$ to be a maximal abelian subspace in

$$\mathfrak{g}^{-\sigma,-\tau}=\{X\in\mathfrak{g}:\tau X=\sigma X=-X\}.$$

Following this recipe, let us define

$$\mathfrak{a}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} i\mathbb{R}(E_{2j+1,2j}-E_{2j,2j+1}).$$

Recall that

$$exp\begin{pmatrix} 0 & it \\ -it & 0 \end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix} ch(t) & ish(t) \\ -ish(t) & ch(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

and let A be the Lie group corresponding to $\mathfrak{a}$. Denote by $a(t_1,t_2,...,t_m)$ the $n\times n$ matrix which consists of m 2×2 diagonal blocks of the form $exp\begin{pmatrix} 0 & it_j \\ -it_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where $j=1,2,...,m$ if $n=2m$ is even, and which consists of these blocks and $a_{nn}=1$ in the last diagonal place if $n=2m+1$ is odd. For example, if $n=4$ then

$$a(t_1,t_2)=\begin{pmatrix} \cosh(t_1) & i\sinh(t_1) & 0 & 0 \\ -i\sinh(t_1) & \cosh(t_1) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cosh(t_2) & i\sinh(t_2) \\ 0 & 0 & -i\sinh(t_2) & \cosh(t_2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

$$A=\{a(t_1,t_2,...,t_m):t_1,t_2,...,t_m\in\mathbb{R}\}.$$

Define

$$A^+=\{a(t_1,t_2,...,t_m):t_1\geq t_2\geq\ldots\geq t_m\geq 0\}.$$

Theorem 3.2. *There is a decomposition $G = KA^+H$, that is, every element $g \in G$ can be written as*

$$g = kah, \text{ where } k \in K, a \in A^+, h \in H. \tag{3.2}$$

Moreover,the a part in decomposition (3.2) is uniquely determined by g.

Remark 3.3. By taking transpose on (3.2) we obtain a similar decomposition $G = HA^+K$, that is, every $g \in G$ can be written as

$$g = hak, \text{ where } h \in H, a \in A^+, k \in K, \tag{3.3}$$

and $a \in A^+$ in this decomposition is uniquely determined by g. Actually, after taking transpose on (3.2), we obtain that $a^t \in A$ and in general $a^t \notin A^+$. But the permutation group S_n is naturally contained in both K and H and we can replace $a \in A$ with $a' = w_1 a w_2$ such that $a' \in A^+$.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. To prove the existence part we will show that $G = KAH$. Since permutation matrices are clearly in $H \cap K$, the equality $G = KA^+H$ will easily follow from the equality $G = KAH$. Let $g \in G$. Our goal is to achieve a decomposition $g = kah$ with $h \in H$, $a \in A$, and $k \in K$. Suppose that g is of such form. Then, since $h^* = {}^t h, a^* = a$, and $k^* = k^{-1}$ we get

$$g^*g = {}^t h a^2 h, h \in H, a \in A. \tag{3.4}$$

On the other hand, suppose that every matrix of the form g^*g can be written as (3.4). Then write $g = ((g^*)^{-1}\ {}^t h a)ah$. Let's show that $k = (g^*)^{-1}\ {}^t h a$ is a unitary matrix. Indeed,

$$k^*k = a^*({}^t h)^*((g^*)^{-1})^*(g^*)^{-1}\ {}^t h a = ah(g^*g)^{-1}\ {}^t h a = ah({}^t h a^2 h)^{-1}\ {}^t h a = I.$$

Therefore, to prove the existence part in the theorem, it is enough to prove that every matrix of the form g^*g can be written in the form (3.4). For this purpose write $g^*g = x + iy, x, y \in H$. Then $x = {}^t x$ is symmetric, and $y = -{}^t y$ is antisymmetric. Also, ${}^t v g^* g v > 0$ for every $0 \neq v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Hence, x is a positive definite matrix, that is, ${}^t v x({}^t x) v = {}^t v g^* g v > 0$ for every $0 \neq v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Thus, there is a matrix $h \in H$ such that ${}^t h x h = I$. Then ${}^t h g^* g h = I + i({}^t h y h)$. The matrix $z := {}^t h y h$ is antisymmetric and it is a standard fact in linear algebra that it is diagonalizable by a real orthogonal matrix. Consequently, $h' z h'^{-1} = d$, with d consisting of $m = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ 2×2 blocks of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} d_{2i-1,2i-1} & d_{2i-1,2i} \\ d_{2i,2i-1} & d_{2i,2i} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda_i \\ -\lambda_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

in the case n even, and m such blocks and the last zero row in the case n odd. Note also that the numbers λ_i are uniquely determined up to a permutation by the matrix gg^* since they are eigenvalues of $hy^t h$. Clearly, every block 2×2 of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & i\lambda \\ -i\lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ can be transformed by a diagonal matrix $\begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 \end{pmatrix}$ to the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} ch(\mu) & ish(\mu) \\ -ish(\mu) & ch(\mu) \end{pmatrix} = exp\left(\mu \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)$$

Taking in every block a of the form $exp\left(\frac{\mu}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)$ proves the existence of the decomposition $g^*g = {}^t h a^2 h$ and thus establishes the existence of the decomposition

$g = kah$.
Uniqueness: note that H acts on the space of positive definite matrices of the form g^*g by $h \cdot x := h^t x h$. Let us take $h, b, c \in H$ and suppose $h \cdot (I + ib) = I + ic$. Then h is an orthogonal matrix, ${}^t hh = I$, thus $c = h^{-1}bh$. In particular, the eigevenvalues of b and c are equal. Now, to prove the uniqueness of $a \in A^+$ in the decomposition (3.2) let us write $a = Re(a) + iIm(a)$ and note that $H \cdot a = H \cdot (I + iIm(a))$. Since eigenvalues of $iIm(a)$ are $\pm\sinh(\lambda_1), ..., \pm\sinh(\lambda_n)$ we see that if $a_1, a_2 \in A^+$ and $a_1 \neq a_2$, then $H \cdot (I + iIm(a_1)) \neq H \cdot (I + iIm(a_2))$, and therefore $H \cdot a_1 \neq H \cdot a_2$. It follows that the $a^2 \in A^+$ part in $g^*g = {}^t ha^2h$ is uniquely determined by g. As a result, $a \in A^+$ is uniquely determined by g. □

4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this paragraph n is fixed, $G = G_n(\mathbb{C}), H = G_n(\mathbb{R})$, and $B = B_n(\mathbb{C})$. We denote by M the standard maximal torus in G and by $W_2 = W_{n,2}$ the set of involutions in S_n. As a starting point of the proof, observe that

$$I(\chi)^* = \mathcal{S}^*(G)^{B,\chi\delta_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}},$$

where B acts on the space of tempered distributions $\mathcal{S}^*(G)$ from the left. We have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_H(I(\chi), \mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{S}^*(G/H)^{B,\chi\delta_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

We will stratify $X := G/H$ by B-orbits. By Lemma 3.1, we have $B\backslash X = W_2$. Suppose $\mathrm{Hom}_H(I(\chi), \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$. By Lemma 2.1 there exists an involution $w \in W_2$ and $k \geq 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{S}^*\big(B(w), Sym^k(CN^X_{B(w)})\big)^{B,\chi\delta_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \neq 0.$$

Note that B acts on $B(w)$ transitively. Note that the stabilizer of w under the action of B is B_w and $\delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}}|_{B^w} = \delta_{B^w}$. Therefore, by Frobenius reciprocity (Theorem 2.2),

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}^*\big(B(w), Sym^k(CN^X_{B(w)})\big)^{B,\chi\delta_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}} &= \mathcal{S}^*\big(\{w\}, Sym^k(CN^X_{B(w),w})\big)^{B^w,\chi\delta_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{B^w}^{-1}\delta_0} \\ &= \mathcal{S}^*\big(\{w\}, Sym^k(CN^X_{B(w),w})\big)^{B^w,\chi} \\ &= \big(Sym^k(N^X_{B(w),w}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\big)^{B^w,\chi}.\end{aligned}$$

Observe that $M^w \subset B^w$, hence $\big(Sym^k(N^X_{B(w),w}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\big)^{B^w,\chi} \neq 0$ implies

$$\big(Sym^k(N^X_{B(w),w}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\big)^{M^w,\chi} \neq 0.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}M^w &= \{t \in M : t^{-1}w\bar{t} = w\} = \{t \in M : t = w\bar{t}w\} \\ &= \{t = \mathrm{diag}(t_1, t_2, ...t_n) \in M : t_i = \overline{t_{w(i)}} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n\}.\end{aligned}$$

It will be useful to obtain one more formula for the M^w. It is easy to see, by examining case of 1×1 and 2×2 matrices, that

(4.1)

$$M^w = \{t(w\bar{t}w)a | t \in M, a = \mathrm{diag}(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n), a_i = 1 \text{ if } w(i) \neq i, a_i = \pm 1 \text{ if } w(i) = i\}.$$

In the next lemma we perform a calculation of the normal space $N^X_{B(w),w}$. Note that this is a finite-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{R}$. Since the group M^w preserves the

tangent space $T_w^{B(w)}$ and clearly preserves the tangent space T_w^X, there is an action of M^w on the normal space $N^X_{B(w),w}$. By taking the scalar extension $N^X_{B(w),w} \otimes \mathbb{C}$, we get a complex representation of M^w. Since M^w is abelian, this representation decomposes into a direct sum of irreducible, one-dimensional representations.

Lemma 4.1. *We have*

$$N^X_{B(w),w} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = \bigoplus_{\{(i,j)\in I_w\}} \alpha_{\delta(i,j)}$$

as a representation of M^w.

Before proving this lemma, we give the following corollary.

Corollary 4.2. *We have*

$$Sym(N^X_{B(w),w} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{\kappa: I_w \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \alpha_\kappa$$

as a representation of M^w.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. Let us denote by $e_{i,j}$ the elementary matrix with 1 at the (i,j)-th entry and zeros in all other entries. The tangent space of X at w is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} T_w^X &= \{A \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C}) | Aw + w\bar{A} = 0\} = \{A \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C}) | wAw = -\bar{A}\} \\ &= \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{-e_{i,j} + e_{w(i),w(j)}, \sqrt{-1}(e_{i,j} + e_{w(i),w(j)}) | 1 \leq i,j \leq n\}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$T_w^{B(w)} = \{-Aw + w\bar{A} | A \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C}),\ A \text{ is upper triangular}\}$$

Since $e_{i,j}w = e_{i,w(j)}$ and $we_{i,j} = e_{w(i),j}$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} T_w^{B(w)} &= \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{-e_{i,w(j)} + e_{w(i),j}, \sqrt{-1}(e_{i,w(j)} + e_{w(i),j}) | 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\} \\ &= \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{-e_{i,j} + e_{w(i),w(j)}, \sqrt{-1}(e_{i,j} + e_{w(i),w(j)}) |\ i \leq w(j)\} \\ &= \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_{i,j}, e_{w(i),w(j)} |\ i \leq w(j)\} \cap T_w^X \\ &= \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_{i,j} |\ i \leq w(j) \text{ or } j \geq w(i)\} \cap T_w^X. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} N^X_{B(w),w} &\cong \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_{i,j} |\ i > w(j), w(i) > j\} \cap T_w^X \\ &= \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_{i,w(j)} |\ i > j, w(i) > w(j)\} \cap T_w^X \\ &= \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_{i,w(j)} |\ (i,j) \in I_w\} \cap T_w^X. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Let us denote $V = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_{i,w(j)} |\ (i,j) \in I_w\}$. Note that if $e_{i,w(j)} \in V$ then also $e_{w(i),j} \in V$, since w is an involution and for an involution

$$(i,j) \in I_w \iff (w(i), w(j)) \in I_w.$$

Let us use the lexicographic ordering on pairs (i,j) : write $(i,j) < (i',j')$ if $i < i'$ or $(i = i'$ and $j < j')$. Then we may rewrite (4.2) as

(4.3)

$$\begin{aligned} N^X_{B(w),w} &\cong \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\sqrt{-1}e_{i,w(j)} |\ (i,j) \in I_w, (i,j) = (w(i), w(j))\} \\ &\oplus \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_{i,w(j)} - e_{w(i),j}, \sqrt{-1}(e_{i,w(j)} + e_{w(i),j}) |\ (i,j) \in I_w, (i,j) < (w(i), w(j))\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $t = \mathrm{diag}(t_1, ..., t_n) \in M$ we have

$$te_{i,j}\bar{t}^{-1} = (t_i/\bar{t_j})e_{i,j},$$

and for $t \in M^w$ we also have

$$te_{i,w(j)}\bar{t}^{-1} = (t_i/\overline{t_{w(j)}})e_{i,w(j)} = (t_i/t_j)e_{i,w(j)}.$$

Therefore the action of M^w on $e_{i,w(j)}$ is given by $\alpha_{\delta(i,j)}$. We obtain that $N^X_{B(w),w} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$, as a representation of M^w is

$$\begin{aligned} N^X_{B(w),w} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} &\cong \bigoplus_{\{(i,j)\in I_w : (i,j)=(w(i),w(j))\}} \alpha_{\delta(i,j)} \oplus \bigoplus_{\{(i,j)\in I_w : (i,j)<(w(i),w(j))\}} (\alpha_{\delta(i,j)} \oplus \alpha_{\delta(w(i),w(j))}) \\ &= \bigoplus_{\{(i,j)\in I_w : (i,j)=(w(i),w(j))\}} \alpha_{\delta(i,j)} \oplus \\ &\quad \bigoplus_{\{(i,j)\in I_w : (i,j)<(w(i),w(j))\}} \alpha_{\delta(i,j)} \oplus \bigoplus_{\{(i,j)\in I_w : (i,j)>(w(i),w(j))\}} \alpha_{\delta(i,j)} \\ &= \bigoplus_{\{(i,j)\in I_w\}} \alpha_{\delta(i,j)}. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality is exactly the assertion of the lemma. □

Lemma 4.3. *If* $\left(Sym^k(N^X_{B(w),w}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right)^{M^w,\chi} \neq 0$ *then* $k = 0$, $w(\chi) = \bar{\chi}^{-1}$, *and* $\chi_i(-1) = 1$ *for all* $1 \leq i \leq n$ *such that* $w(i) = i$.

Proof. Note that for $t \in M$ and $w \in W_2$, the element wtw is also diagonal and its diagonal entries are the permutation of diagonal entries of t by w, i.e.,

$$(wtw)_{ii} = t_{w(i),w(i)}.$$

We are going to use (4.1). From it follows that if $\alpha_\kappa|_{M^w} = \chi|_{M^w}$, then for every $t \in M$ we have

$$\alpha_\kappa(t(w\bar{t}w)) = \alpha_\kappa(t)\overline{w(\alpha_\kappa)(t)} = \chi(t)\overline{\chi(w(t))} = \chi(t(w\bar{t}w)).$$

That is,

$$\left(\alpha_\kappa|_{M^w} = \chi|_{M^w}\right) \Rightarrow \left(\overline{\alpha_\kappa}w(\alpha_\kappa) = \bar{\chi}w(\chi)\right). \tag{4.4}$$

To obtain (4.4) just put $a = 1$ in (4.1). The set of κ-s that satisfy

$$\overline{\alpha_\kappa}w(\alpha_\kappa) = \bar{\chi}w(\chi) \tag{4.5}$$

is $\{\kappa \equiv 0\}$ if $w(\chi) = \overline{\chi^{-1}}$ and is empty otherwise. Indeed, let us take absolute values on two sides of (4.5). We obtain

$$\prod_{(i,j)\in I_w} \left|\frac{t_i}{t_j}\right|^{\kappa(i,j)+\kappa(w(i),w(j))} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} |t_i|^{\lambda_i+\lambda_{w(i)}}. \tag{4.6}$$

First, we will deduce from the last equation that the right hand-side of this equation is 1. Note that from (4.6) follows, by substituting $t_i = c$ for all i with a generic $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$, that

$$\lambda_1 + ... + \lambda_n = 0.$$

Since no pair $(1, i)$ belongs to I_w, it follows that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_{w(1)} \leq 0$. Let i be the first index such that $\lambda_i + \lambda_{w(i)} > 0$. Then on the left hand side of (4.6) the power of $|t_i|$

is positive, thus there is a j such that $(i,j) \in I_w$. Hence $i > j, w(i) > w(j)$ and from the assumption $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq ... \geq \lambda_n$ we obtain $\lambda_i \leq \lambda_j$ and $\lambda_{w(i)} \leq \lambda_{w(j)}$. Thus

$$0 < \lambda_i + \lambda_{w(i)} \leq \lambda_j + \lambda_{w(j)} \leq 0,$$

a contradiction! Therefore, for every i, there is an inequality $\lambda_i + \lambda_{w(i)} \leq 0$. Since the sum of all λ's is equal to 0, we obtain $\lambda_i + \lambda_{w(i)} = 0$ for every i. Hence, $\lambda_1 \geq 0, \lambda_n \leq 0$. Now, we can deduce $\kappa \equiv 0$. Indeed, let j be the minimal index such that there exists $(i,j) \in I_w$ with the property

$$\kappa(i,j) \neq 0 \text{ or } \kappa(w(i), w(j)) \neq 0.$$

The power of $|t_j|$ on the right hand side of (4.5) must equal 0, thus there is a pair $(j,k) \in I_w$ such that $\kappa(j,k) \neq 0$ or $\kappa(w(j), w(k)) \neq 0$. In both cases we obtain a contradiction to the minimality of j. As a conclusion, we obtain that (4.5) implies $\kappa \equiv 0$.
Suppose now $w(\chi) = \overline{\chi^{-1}}$ and thus $\kappa \equiv 0$. Then $\alpha_\kappa = 1$, the identity character. We want to prove that $\chi_i(-1) = 1$ for all i such that $w(i) = i$. This follows from $\chi(a) = \alpha_\kappa(a) = 1$ for $a = diag(a_1, ..., a_n)$, where $a_i = \pm 1$ whenever $w(i) = i$ and $a_i = 1$ otherwise. □

5. Calculation of Rankin-Selberg Gamma factors

In this section we recall the notion of Rankin-Selberg integrals and apply the results of previous sections to calculate special values of Rankin-Selberg gamma factors. The exposition and notation follows [J]. Let $\chi : B_n \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a multilicative character and let $\lambda : I(\chi) \to \mathbb{C}$ be a ψ-form on $I(\chi)$. Recall that such λ always exists and it is unique up to a scalar multiple. If $v \in V$ and $f \in V$, $g \in G_n$, we set

$$W_f(g) = \lambda(R(g)f).$$

Let $\mathcal{W}(I(\chi), \psi)$ be the space spanned by the functions of the form W_f, where $f \in V$. For every n, we denote by w_n the $n \times n$ permutation matrix whose anti diagonal entries are 1. If $n > n'$, we denote

$$w_{n,n'} = \begin{pmatrix} 1_{n'} & 0 \\ 0 & w_{n-n'} \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $f \in I(\chi)$, then the function $\tilde{f}$ is defined by

$$\tilde{f}(g) := f(w_n\, {}^t g^{-1}).$$

Let π be an irreducible representation of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$ and let π' be an irreducible representation of $G_m(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose π is the Langlands quotient of $I(\chi)$ and π' is the Langlands quotient of $I(\chi')$. We choose a ψ-form λ on $I(\chi)$ and a $\bar{\psi}$-form λ' on $I(\chi')$. Rankin-Selberg integrals are defined as follows. For $f \in I(\chi), f' \in I(\chi')$, set

$$W = W_f, W' = W_{f'}.$$

For $W = W_f$, set

$$\widetilde{W_f} := W_f(w_n\, {}^t g^{-1}).$$

Note that $\widetilde{W_f}(g) = W_{\tilde{f}}(g)$ and $W_{\tilde{f}}(g) \in \mathcal{W}(I(\chi^{-1}), \bar{\psi})$.
If $n > n'$ we set

$$\Psi(s, W, W') = \int W \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{n-n'} \end{pmatrix} W'(g) |\det g|_{\mathbb{C}}^{s-\frac{n-n'}{2}} dg. \tag{5.1}$$

In addition, for $0 \leq j \leq n - n' - 1$, we set

$$\Psi_j(s, W, W') = \int W \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 & 0 \\ X & 1_j & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1_{n-n'-j} \end{pmatrix} W'(g) |\det g|_{\mathbb{C}}^{s-\frac{n-n'}{2}} dX dg. \tag{5.2}$$

Here X is integrated over the space $M(m \times j, \mathbb{C})$ of matrices with m rows and j columns. In each integral,g is integrated over the quotient $U_n(\mathbb{C})\backslash G_n(\mathbb{C})$.
If $n = n'$, we let Φ be a Schwartz function on $\mathbb{C}^n$ and we set

$$\Psi(s, W, W', \Phi) = \int W(g) W'(g) \Phi[(0, 0, ..., 0, 1)g] |\det g|_{\mathbb{C}}^{s} dg. \tag{5.3}$$

Rankin-Selberg gamma factor $\gamma(s, \pi \times \pi', \psi)$ is a proportionality factor appearing in functional equation on certain Rankin-Selberg integrals. We quote here [J, Theorem 2.1].

Theorem 5.1. (1) *The integrals (5.1),(5.2), and (5.3) converge for $Re(s) >> 0$.*
(2) *Each integral extends to a meromorphic function of s which is a holomorphic multiple of $L(s, \pi \times \pi')$ bounded at infinity in vertical strips. See* [J] *for the definition of $L(s, \pi \times \pi')$.*
(3) *The following functional equations are satisfied. If $n > n'$,*

$$\Psi(1 - s, \widetilde{W}, \widetilde{W'}) = \omega_{I(\chi)}(-1)^{n-1} \omega_{I(\chi')}(-1) \gamma(s, I(\chi) \times I(\chi'), \psi) \Psi(s, W, W').$$

If $n > n' + 1$,

$$\Psi_j(1 - s, \pi(w_{n,n'})\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{W'}) = \omega_{I(\chi)}(-1)^{n'} \omega_{I(\chi')}(-1) \gamma(s, \pi \times \pi', \psi) \Psi_{n-n'-1-j}(s, W, W').$$

If $n = n'$,

$$\Psi(1 - s, \widetilde{W}, \widetilde{W'}, \hat{\Phi}) = \omega_{I(\chi)}(-1)^{n-1} \gamma(s, \pi \times \pi', \psi) \Psi(s, W, W', \Phi).$$

We will calculate the special values of Rankin-Selberg gamma factor of $G_n(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished representations. The main tool will be the classification of such representations obtained in Theorem 1.3 and basic properties of Rankin-Selberg gamma factors, [J, Lemma 16.3].

Theorem 5.2. *Let π be an irreducible $G_t(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished representation of $G_t(\mathbb{C})$ and π' be an irreducible and $G_r(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished representation of $G_r(\mathbb{C})$. If ψ is a non-trivial character of $\mathbb{C}$ with a trivial restriction to $\mathbb{R}$ then*

$$\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \times \pi', \psi\right) = 1.$$

A similar theorem is proven in [O, Theorem 0.1] for the p-adic case.
Before proving the theorem, let us recall some basic facts about one-dimensional Tate gamma factors. Let χ be a one-dimensional character $\chi : \mathbb{C}^* \to \mathbb{C}^*$. We have the following functional equation for Tate gamma-factors

$$\gamma(s, \chi, \psi)\gamma(1 - s, \overline{\chi^{-1}}, \overline{\psi^{-1}}) = 1. \tag{5.4}$$

Since we assume ψ is trivial on $\mathbb{R}$, we obtain $\overline{\psi^{-1}} = \psi$, and for $s = \frac{1}{2}$ we get

$$\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi, \psi\right)\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \overline{\chi^{-1}}, \psi\right) = 1. \tag{5.5}$$

For a real character χ, that is, for χ satisfying $\chi^2 = 1$, we obtain $\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi, \psi\right)^2 = 1$, and thus $\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi, \psi\right) \in \{1, -1\}$. The value of $\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi, \psi\right)$ depends on $\chi(-1)$. Whenever $\chi(-1) = 1$ we obtain

$$\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi, \psi\right) = 1 \tag{5.6}$$

Proof of Theorem 5.2. Recall that if π is the Langlands quotient of $Ind(\chi)$ and π' is the Langlands quotient of $Ind(\chi')$, then

$$\gamma(s, \pi \times \pi', \psi) = \gamma(s, Ind(\chi) \times Ind(\chi'), \psi).$$

It is well-known that $\chi = (\chi_1, ..., \chi_t)$, where χ_i's are one-dimensional characters of $\mathbb{C}$. Similarly, $\chi' = (\chi'_1, ..., \chi'_r)$, where χ'_i's are one-dimensional characters of $\mathbb{C}$. Thus,

$$\gamma(s, Ind(\chi) \times Ind(\chi'), \psi) = \prod_{i=1}^{t} \gamma(s, \chi_i \times Ind(\chi'), \psi) = \prod_{i=1}^{t}\prod_{j=1}^{r} \gamma(s, \chi_i\chi'_j, \psi). \tag{5.7}$$

Using Theorem \ref{thm:induced representation}, there exists an involution $w \in S_t$ and an involution $w' \in S_r$ such that $w(\chi) = \bar{\chi}^{-1}$ and $w'(\chi') = \bar{\chi'}^{-1}$ and for every fixed point i of w, and j of w', we have $\chi_i(-1) = 1$ and $\chi'_j(-1) = 1$. The formula in 5.7 may be rewritten as

$$\gamma(s, Ind(\chi) \times Ind(\chi'), \psi) = I_1 I_2,$$

where

$$I_1 = \prod_{\{(i,j):(w(i),w'(j))=(i,j)\}} \gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_i\chi'_j, \psi\right),$$

$$I_2 = \prod_{\{(i,j):\, i<w(i) \text{ or } (i=w(i) \text{ and } w'(j)<j)\}} \gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_i\chi'_j, \psi\right)\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{w(i)}\chi'_{w'(j)}, \psi\right).$$

Let us prove that every term appearing in the product I_1 is 1. Indeed, by Theorem 1.3 the character $\chi_i\chi'_j$ appearing as the argument in the gamma factor in I_1 is a real character satisfying $\chi\chi'_j(-1) = 1$, and therefore, by (5.6) we get

$$\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_i\chi'_j, \psi\right) = 1.$$

Each term in the product I_2 is also equals 1, since $\chi_{w(i)}\chi'_{w'(j)} = (\overline{\chi_i\chi'_j})^{-1}$ and by applying (5.5). Finally, $I_1 = I_2 = 1$ and we obtain

$$\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \times \pi', \psi\right) = 1.$$

□

We will need the following technical result about Rankin-Selberg integrals in Section 8.

Lemma 5.3. *Let (π, V), (π', V') be generic representations of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$ and let*

$$\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi),\ \mathcal{W}(\pi', \psi^{-1})$$

be their Whittaker models. Suppose (π, V) is unitarizable and (π', V') is tempered. Let $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi))$, $W' \in \mathcal{W}(\pi', \psi^{-1})$, and let $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Then the Rankin-Selberg integral

$$\int\limits_{U_n(\mathbb{C})\backslash G_n(\mathbb{C})} W(g)W'(g)\Phi((0,0,...,0,1)g)|\det g|_{\mathbb{C}}^{s} dg$$

converges absolutely at $s = \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. Let T_n be the standard maximal torus in $G_n(\mathbb{C})$ and let K_n be a maximal compact subgroup of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$ consisting of all unitary matrices in $G_n(\mathbb{C})$. Let δ be the modular character of $B_n(\mathbb{C})$. By [LM, Lemma 2.1], we know that there exists $\lambda > -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ such that

$$|W(tk)| \leq \delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)|\det t|_{\mathbb{C}}^{\lambda}|t_n|_{\mathbb{C}}^{-n\lambda}\phi\left(\frac{t_1}{t_2}, ..., \frac{t_{n-1}}{t_n}\right)$$

for $t \in T_n, k \in K_n$. Similarly, there exists $\phi' \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ such that

$$|W'(tk)| \leq \delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\phi'\left(\frac{t_1}{t_2}, ..., \frac{t_{n-1}}{t_n}\right)$$

for all $t \in T_n$, $k \in K_n$. Thus, there exists a Schwartz function $\phi'' \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, such that

$$|W(tk)W'(tk)\Phi((0,0,...,0,1)g)| \leq \delta(t)|\det t|_{\mathbb{C}}^{\lambda}|t_n|_{\mathbb{C}}^{-n\lambda}\phi''\left(\frac{t_1}{t_2}, ..., \frac{t_{n-1}}{t_n}, t_n\right)$$

for all $k \in K_n, t \in T_n$. Let us rewrite the expression

$$\int\limits_{U_n(\mathbb{C})\backslash G_n(\mathbb{C})} |W(g)W'(g)\Phi((0,0,...,0,1)g)||\det g|_{\mathbb{C}}^{Re(s)} dg$$

using the Iwasawa decomposition. We obtain

$$\int\limits_{K_n}\int\limits_{T_n} |W(tk)W'(tk)\Phi((0,0,...,0,1)g)||\det t|_{\mathbb{C}}^{Re(s)}\delta^{-1}(t)dtdk. \tag{5.8}$$

Indeed, for $f : G_n(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that the following integrals are absolutely convergent, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{G_n(\mathbb{C})} f(g)dg &= \int\limits_{U_n(\mathbb{C})}\int\limits_{T_n(\mathbb{C})}\int\limits_{K_n} f(tuk)dudtdk = \int\limits_{U_n(\mathbb{C})}\int\limits_{T_n(\mathbb{C})}\int\limits_{K_n} f((tut^{-1})tk)dudtdk \\ &= \int\limits_{U_n(\mathbb{C})}\int\limits_{T_n(\mathbb{C})}\int\limits_{K_n} f(utk)\delta^{-1}(t)dudtdk.\end{aligned}$$

The integrand in (5.8) is bounded by

$$|W(tk)W'(tk)\Phi((0,0,...,0,1)g)||\det t|_{\mathbb{C}}^{Re(s)}\delta^{-1}(t) \leq \phi''\left(\frac{t_1}{t_2},...,\frac{t_{n-1}}{t_n},t_n\right)|\det t|_{\mathbb{C}}^{Re(s)+\lambda}|t_n|_{\mathbb{C}}^{-n\lambda}$$
$$\times\left|\frac{t_1}{t_2}\right|_{\mathbb{C}}^{Re(s)+\lambda}\left|\frac{t_2}{t_3}\right|_{\mathbb{C}}^{2(Re(s)+\lambda)}...\cdot\left|\frac{t_{n-1}}{t_n}\right|_{\mathbb{C}}^{(n-1)(Re(s)+\lambda)}\cdot|t_n|_{\mathbb{C}}^{nRe(s)}.$$

It follows that the integral absolutely converges for s satisfying $Re(s) > -\lambda$ and $Re(s) > 0$. As $\lambda > -\frac{1}{2}$, we obtain the absolute convergence of the Rankin-Selberg integral at $s = \frac{1}{2}$. □

6. Integral representation of Whittaker functions

Let $n \geq 2$ be fixed and let $K = U_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a maximal compact subgroup of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$. Let (π, V) be an irreducible, $G_n(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished representation of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose (π, V) is unitarizable and generic and let $\mathcal{W}(\pi,\psi)$ be its Whittaker model. The functional

$$\mu : W \mapsto \int\limits_{U_{n-1}(\mathbb{R})\backslash G_{n-1}(\mathbb{R})} W(h)dh$$

defines an $P_n(\mathbb{R})$-invariant functional on $\mathcal{W}(\pi,\psi)$, see [LM, Lemma 1.2] for the proof of the absolute convergence of the functional μ. We will identify in the sequel the functional μ on $\mathcal{W}(\pi,\psi)$ with the corresponding linear functional on V, which we will also denote by abuse of notation μ. By the uniqueness of Whittaker model, this identification defines $\mu \in V^*$ in a unique way, up to a scalar multiple. Since $\mu \in (V^*)^{P_n(\mathbb{R})}$ and $(V^*)^{P_n(\mathbb{R})} = (V^*)^{G_n(\mathbb{R})}$, see [K, Theorem 1.1], we obtain that $\mu \in (V^*)^{G_n(\mathbb{R})}$. Clearly, $\mu \neq 0$.
The functional μ defines an embedding of V to functions on $G_n(\mathbb{R})\backslash G_n(\mathbb{C})$ via

$$V \ni v \mapsto (g \mapsto \mu(\pi(g)v)).$$

By abuse of notation we denote this embedding again by μ. Denote the image of embedding μ by $\mathcal{C}_{G_n(\mathbb{R})}(\pi)$. In the other direction, we can define a map

$$\theta : \mathcal{C}_{G_n(\mathbb{R})}(\pi) \to \mathcal{W}(\pi,\psi)$$

by

$$\theta : f \mapsto \left(g \mapsto \int\limits_{U_n(\mathbb{R})\backslash U_n(\mathbb{C})} f(ug)\psi^{-1}(u)du\right). \tag{6.1}$$

In this section we will prove that for every n there exists an irreducible representation (π, V) of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$ that is $G_n(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished and such that the integral (6.1) is absolutely convergent for every K-finite vector in (π, V).
Suppose for the moment that we have a generic distinguished irreducible representation (π, V) of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$ and the integral (6.1) is absolutely convergent for every $f \in \mathcal{C}_{G_n(\mathbb{R})}(\pi)$. Then, from [LM], the composition of maps $\theta \circ \mu = c\mu$ for some constant $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence, $\theta \neq 0$, and since (π, V) is irreducible, we get that μ is an isomorphism. We state a consequence

Lemma 6.1. *Let (π, V) be an irreducible, distinguished, $G_n(\mathbb{R})$-generic representation of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose the integral $\int\limits_{U_n(\mathbb{R})\backslash U_n(\mathbb{C})} W(u)\psi^{-1}(u)du$ absolutely converges for every $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$. Then for every $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ there exists $f \in \mathcal{C}_{G_n(\mathbb{R})}(\pi)$ such that*

$$W(g) = \int\limits_{U_n(\mathbb{R})\backslash U_n(\mathbb{C})} f(ug)\psi^{-1}(u)du.$$

Recall the decomposition (3.2) $G_n(\mathbb{C}) = G_n(\mathbb{R})A^+K$. The involution $g \to^t g^{-1}$ preserves this decomposition. Let $\check{W}(g) = W({}^t g^{-1})$. The Whittaker model $\mathcal{W}(\tilde{\pi}, \psi^{-1})$ of the contragredient representation of (π, V) is given by

$$\mathcal{W}(\tilde{\pi}, \psi^{-1}) = \{\check{W} : W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)\}.$$

If conditions of Lemma 6.1 are satisfied for $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ then they are satisfied also for the contragredient representation $\mathcal{W}(\tilde{\pi}, \psi^{-1})$. Explicitly, if $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ equals to

$$W(g) = \int\limits_{U_n(\mathbb{R})\backslash U_n(\mathbb{C})} f(ug)\psi^{-1}(u)du,$$

then

$$\check{W}(g) = \int\limits_{U_n(\mathbb{R})\backslash U_n(\mathbb{C})} \check{f}(ug)\psi(u)du,$$

where $\check{f}(g) := f({}^t g^{-1})$. For $g \in G_n(\mathbb{C})$ let

$$||g|| = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} |g_{ij}|^2.$$

Let $||g||_H = \max\{||g||, ||g^{-1}||\}$. Then $||g||_H$ is a norm on G in the sense of [Wal1, section 2.A.2].

Lemma 6.2. *Let $N > 0$. Then there exists an irreducible $G_n(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished representation (π, V) of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that for every K-finite function $f \in V$ there exists a constant $C > 0$, depending only on f, such that for every $k \in K, a \in A, h \in G_n(\mathbb{R})$ there is an inequality*

$$|f(hak)| \leq C(f)||a||_H^{-N}. \tag{6.2}$$

Proof. By [Flen], there exists relatively discrete series $\mathcal{H} := L^2_{ds}(G_n(\mathbb{R})\backslash G_n(\mathbb{C}))$. Moreover, every irreducible representation in $\mathcal{H}$ is isomorphic to some $I(\chi)$, where

$$\chi(z) = \left((z/|z|)^{i_1}, (z/|z|)^{i_2}, ..., (z/|z|)^{i_n}\right)$$

and $i_1, ..., i_n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $C > 0$ us bug enough and $|i_k - i_j| > C > 0$ for all $i \neq j$, then the $G_n(\mathbb{R})\backslash G_n(\mathbb{C})$ model of the space $I(\chi)$ lies in $\mathcal{H}$, and the $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-module generated by a K-finite function $0 \neq f_\lambda \in I(\chi)$ satisfies the properties of the Lemma. Indeed, by [Flen, p. 254], see also [KasKob, Proposition 5.1], if $C > 0$ is big enough and $|i_k - i_j| > C > 0$ for all $j \neq k$, then $f_\lambda(hak) \leq C'||a||^{-N}$ for all $h \in H, a \in A^+$, and $k \in K$.

Clearly, f_λ and right translations of f_λ by K satisfy the properties of our Lemma. We should prove that the derivatives of f_λ also satisfy similar growth properties. This is

achieved by a classical idea, which is attributed to Harish-Chandra, see also an expository article by [CHH]. The function f_λ is K-finite, hence, there exists a smooth function e_α of a compact support such that $f_\lambda * e_\alpha = f_\lambda$. Thus, for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ we have $dX(f_\lambda) = f_\lambda * dX(e_\alpha)$. It follows that the derivatives of f_λ have the same decay properties that f_λ has.
Finally, the $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-module generated by f_λ is of finite length. Consequently, it contains an irreducible admissible $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-submodule with satisfy the decay property (6.2). □

If every K-finite function in (π, V) satisfies (6.2) we say that the representation (π, V) is of decay faster than N. Note that if (π, V) is of decay faster than N, then its contragredient $(\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{V})$ is also of decay faster than N. Indeed, we can realize $(\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{V})$ as $\tilde{V} = \{\check{f} : f \in V\}$, where $\check{f}(g) := f({}^t g^{-1})$. If $g = hak$, then ${}^t g^{-1} = {}^t h^{-1} a\, {}^t k^{-1}$. Hence, the property of fast decay is true for $\check{f}$ if and only if it is true for f.
To obtain estimates of convergence of integrals over the unipotent matrices we need the next elementary result. Define Ω_n as the subset of all upper triangular unipotent matrices in $G_n(\mathbb{C})$ with with u_{ij} purely imaginary for $j > i$. Note that Ω_n is a fundamental domain for $U_n(\mathbb{R})\backslash U_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 6.3. *There exist $C > 0$ and $d > 0$, which depend only on n, such that for every $u \in \Omega_n$ we have*

$$||u|| \leq C||u\bar{u}^{-1}||^d.$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on n. For $n = 2$ it follows from a direct computation: let $u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ix \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $u\bar{u}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2ix \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and the claim is satisfied.
For a general n, we can partition the set of the entries appearing in g by the value of $j - i$: that is,

$$A_0 = \{g_{11}, g_{22}, ..., g_{nn}\},\ A_1 = \{g_{12}, g_{23}, ..., g_{(n-1)n}\}, ...,\ A_{n-1} = \{g_{1n}\}.$$

Denote by $B_j := \cup_{0 \leq i < j} A_i$. The crucial observation is that entry (i, j) of $\bar{u}^{-1}$ with indexes satisfying $j - i = k$ equals to

$$\bar{u}_{ij}^{-1} = u_{ij} + P_{ij}(u),$$

where $P_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}[B_k]$ is some fixed polynomial which depends only on the entries u_{lm} with indexes $l - m < k$. Similarly,

$$(u\bar{u}^{-1})_{ij} = 2u_{ij} + Q_{ij}(u),$$

where $Q_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}[B_k]$ is some fixed polynomial which depends only on the entries u_{lm} with indexes $l - m < k$. For example, let $n = 3$,

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ix & iy \\ 0 & 1 & iz \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \bar{u}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ix & iy - xz \\ 0 & 1 & iz \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, u\bar{u}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ix & 2iy - 2xz \\ 0 & 1 & iz \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus $P_{12} = P_{23} = 0, P_{13} = -xz, Q_{12} = Q_{23} = 0, Q_{13} = -2xz$. Denote $v = u\bar{u}^{-1}$. Define "partial semi-norms" of u by

$$||u||_k = \sum_{i,j: j-i \leq k} |u_{ij}|^2.$$

We will prove by induction on k (base is $k=1$) that for every k, there exist $C_k, d_k > 0$ such that $||u||_k \leq C_k||v||_k^{d_k}$. As $||u||_n = ||u||$, the result follows.
Base: $k=1$. Simple calculation shows that for $C_1 = 1, d_1 = 1$ we obtain the desired inequality. Suppose the claim is true for $k-1$, that is,

$$||u||_{k-1} \leq C_{k-1}||v||_{k-1}^{d_{k-1}}.$$

We want to show a similar inequality for k. There exists $C, d > 0$ such that for every $1 \leq i \leq n-k$ we have $|v_{i,i+k}| \geq |u_{i,i+k}| - C||u||_{k-1}^d$. For example, one can choose

$$d = \max\{deg(P_{ij}) : i-j = k\},$$

and big enough constant C. Let u be a given upper triangular unipotent matrix with purely imaginary up entries above the diagonal. There exist constants C', C'' such that if for all i we have $|u_{i,i+k}| \leq 2C||u||_{k-1}^d$, then

$$||u||_k = ||u||_{k-1} + \sum_i |u_{i,i+k}|^2 \leq C'||u||_{k-1}^{2d} \leq C''||v||_{k-1}^{2dd_{k-1}} \leq C''||v||_k^{2dd_{k-1}}.$$

On the other hand, if for some i we have $|u_{i,i+k}| > 2C||u||_{k-1}^d$, then we have an inequality $|v_{i,i+k}| > \frac{|u_{i,i+k}|}{2}$ and there exist a constant C''' such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} |u_{i,i+k}|^2 \leq C''' \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} |v_{i,i+k}|^2 \leq C'''||v||_k \leq C'''||v||_k^{2dd_{k-1}}.$$

Therefore, in both cases there are constants C_k, d_k such that

$$||u||_k \leq C_k||v||_k^{d_k}.$$

□

Corollary 6.4. *There exist $C > 0$ and $d > 0$, which depend only on n, such that for every $u \in \Omega_n$ we have*

$$||u||_H \leq C||u\bar{u}^{-1}||_H^d.$$

Proof. From Lemma 6.3 we know that there exist $C_1 > 0, d_1 > 0$ such that for every $u \in \Omega_n$ we have $||u|| < C_1||u\bar{u}^{-1}||^{d_1}$. Similarly, one proves that there exist $C_2 > 0, d_2 > 0$ such that for every $u \in \Omega_n$ we have $||u|| < C_2||\bar{u}u^{-1}||^{d_2}$. Define $C = \max\{C_1, C_2\}, d = \max\{d_1, d_2\}$. Then $||u||_H \leq C||u\bar{u}^{-1}||_H^d$ for every $u \in \Omega_n$. □

Lemma 6.5. *Let $N > 0$ be big enough. Then for every irreducible,$G_n(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished representation (π, V) of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$ with decay faster than N, the integral*

$$\int_{U_n(\mathbb{R})\backslash U_n(\mathbb{C})} f(ug)du$$

absolutely converges for every $g \in G_n(\mathbb{C})$ and every K-finite function $f \in V$.

Proof. Let (π, V) be a $G_n(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished representation of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that for every K-finite function $f \in V$ there exists $C > 0$ depending only on f such that

$$|f(hak)| < C||a||_H^{-N}$$

for every $h \in G_n(\mathbb{R}), a \in A^+, k \in K$. Write $ug = hak$. Then $(\overline{ug})^{-1}ug = \bar{g}^{-1}(\bar{u}^{-1}u)g$. Since g is fixed, there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that for every matrix $u \in G_n$ we have

$$C_1^{-1}||\bar{u}^{-1}u|| < ||(\overline{ug})^{-1}ug|| < C_1||\bar{u}^{-1}u||.$$

By Lemma 6.3, for $u \in \Omega$ we have

$$||u|| < C_2||\bar{u}^{-1}u||^d.$$

On the other hand ,

$$(\overline{ug})^{-1}\, ug = \bar{k}^{-1}(a\bar{a}^{-1})k = \bar{k}^{-1}a^2k.$$

Note that $k \in K$ is a unitary matrix, therefore

$$||\bar{k}^{-1}a^2k|| = ||a^2k|| = ||a^2||.$$

Combining these inequalities we get

$$||a^2|| = ||(\overline{ug})^{-1}ug|| > C_3||u\bar{u}^{-1}|| > C_4||u||^{1/d}.$$

Finally, we obtain that there exist constants C, d' such that for $ug = hak$, where $u \in \Omega_n$ and $g \in G_n$ is fixed, we have

$$||a|| > C||u||^{1/d'}$$

Therefore,

$$\int\limits_{U_n(\mathbb{R})\backslash U_n(\mathbb{C})} |f(ug)|du \leq \int\limits_{\Omega} C||u||_H^{-N/d'}du. \tag{6.3}$$

The integral in (6.3) converges for N big enough, thus the lemma is proved. □

Corollary 6.6. *Let $N > 0$ be big enough. Then for every irreducible $G_n(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished representation (π, V) of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$ with decay faster than N, the integral (6.1) is absolutely convergent.*

7. Archimedean Asai integrals

In [Fli1] Flicker introduced non-archimedean Asai integrals. He used them in [Fli2] to analyze the local and global Asai L and ϵ-factors. In this section we introduce an archimedean analog of Asai integrals and prove that they are of moderate growth. Also, we state a functional equation they satisfy analogous to [O, Lemma 4.2].
Let (π, V) be a generic irreducible unitarizable representation of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$ and let $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ be its Whittaker model. For $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$, we define an archimedean Asai integral to be

$$Z(s, W, \Phi) = \int\limits_{U_n(\mathbb{R})\backslash G_n(\mathbb{R})} W(g)\Phi((0, 0, ..., 0, 1)g)|\det g|_{\mathbb{R}}^s dg. \tag{7.1}$$

Lemma 7.1. *The integral (7.1) is absolutely convergent for $Re(s) \geq 1$. Moreover, there exists $N > 0$ such that for every $g \in G$, every K-finite function $W' \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$, and every $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ we have*

$$\int\limits_{U_n(\mathbb{R})\backslash G_n(\mathbb{R})} |W'(hg)\Phi((0, 0, ..., 0, 1)hg)||\det h|_{\mathbb{R}}dh \leq C(W', \Phi)||g||^N.$$

Proof. Denote by $K_n(\mathbb{R})$ the standard maximal compact subgroup of $G_n(\mathbb{R})$ and by $T_n(\mathbb{R})$ the diagonal torus in $G_n(\mathbb{R})$. Let $\tilde{\delta}$ be the modulus function of $G_n(\mathbb{R})$. Using Iwasawa decomposition we obtain

$$|Z(s,W,\Phi)| \leq \int\limits_{K_n(\mathbb{R})} \int\limits_{T_n(\mathbb{R})} |W(tk)\Phi((0,0,...,0,1)tk)||\det(t)|_{\mathbb{R}}^{Re(s)}\tilde{\delta}^{-1}(t)dt\,dk. \tag{7.2}$$

By [LM, Corollary 2.2], there exists $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ such that

$$|W(tk)| \leq \delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)|\det t|_{\mathbb{R}}^{2\lambda}|t_n|^{-2n\lambda}\phi\left(\frac{t_1}{t_2},\frac{t_2}{t_3},...,\frac{t_{n-1}}{t_n}\right)$$

for all $t \in T_n(\mathbb{R})$, $k \in K_n(\mathbb{R})$. Note that $\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\tilde{\delta}(t)^{-1} = 1$, and there exists a function $\phi' \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\phi\left(\frac{t_1}{t_2},\frac{t_2}{t_3},...,\frac{t_{n-1}}{t_n}\right)\Phi((0,0,...,0,1)tk) \leq \phi'\left(\frac{t_1}{t_2},\frac{t_2}{t_3},...,\frac{t_{n-1}}{t_n},t_n\right)$$

for all $t \in T_n(\mathbb{R})$, $k \in K_n(\mathbb{R})$. Hence, the integrand in (7.2) is bounded by

$$|W(tk)\Phi((0,0,...,0,1)tk)||\det(t)|_{\mathbb{R}}^{Re(s)}\tilde{\delta}^{-1}(t) \leq |\det t|^{2\lambda+Re(s)}|t_n|^{-2n\lambda}\phi'\left(\frac{t_1}{t_2},\frac{t_2}{t_3},...,\frac{t_{n-1}}{t_n},t_n\right)$$

$$\leq \left|\frac{t_1}{t_2}\right|^{2\lambda+Re(s)}\left|\frac{t_2}{t_3}\right|^{2(2\lambda+Re(s))}\cdot...\cdot\left|\frac{t_{n-1}}{t_n}\right|^{(n-1)(2\lambda+Re(s))}|t_n|^{nRe(s)}\phi'\left(\frac{t_1}{t_2},\frac{t_2}{t_3},...,\frac{t_{n-1}}{t_n},t_n\right).$$

Consequently, the integral (7.2) converges absolutely for s satisfying $Re(s)+2\lambda > 0$ and $Re(s) > 0$. Note that for π unitary and generic one have $\lambda > -\frac{1}{2}$, see [LM, page 8]. Thus, the integral (7.2) converges absolutely for $Re(s) \geq 1$.
From the proof of the absolute convergence of $Z(1,W,\Phi)$, it follows also that there exists $N > 0$, depending only on the representation π such that for every $W' \in \mathcal{W}(\pi,\psi)$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there exists $C(W',\Phi)$ such that

$$|Z(1,\pi(g)W',R(g)\Phi)| \leq C(W',\Phi)||g||^N$$

for all $g \in G_n(\mathbb{C})$. □

Next lemma provides a functional equation for archimedean Asai integrals.

Lemma 7.2. *Let π be an irreducible, unitary , non-degenerate and $G_n(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished representation of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$. For every $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi,\psi)$ we have*

$$Z(1,\tilde{W},\hat{\Phi}|_{\mathbb{R}^n}) = c(\pi)Z(1,W,\Phi|_{\mathbb{R}^n}).$$

Proof. For the proof see [O, Lemma 4.2]. □

We will use the following technical result in the next section.

Lemma 7.3. *Let (π',V') be non-degenerate unitary representation of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$ and let $\mathcal{W}(\pi',\psi^{-1})$ be its Whittaker model. Then there exists $N > 0$ such that for every irreducible, $G_n(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished representation (π,V) of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$ with decay faster than N and every function $f \in \mathcal{C}_{G_n(\mathbb{R})}(\pi)$, the following integral is absolutely convergent:*

$$\int\limits_{G_n(\mathbb{R})\backslash G_n(\mathbb{C})} |f(g)||\det g|_{\mathbb{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int\limits_{U_n(\mathbb{R})\backslash G_n(\mathbb{R})} |W'(hg)\Phi((0,0,...,0,1)hg)||\det h|_{\mathbb{R}}dh\right)dg.$$

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 7.1. □

8. Equality of two functionals

Let (π, V) be an irreducible, non-degenerate, and unitarizable $G_n(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished representation of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$ and let $\mathcal{W}(\pi,\psi)$ be its Whittaker model. Define linear functionals $\mu, \tilde{\mu} \in V^*$ on $\mathcal{W}(\pi,\psi))$ by

$$\mu : W \mapsto \int\limits_{U_{n-1}(\mathbb{R})\backslash G_{n-1}(\mathbb{R})} W(g)dg,$$

and

$$\tilde{\mu} : W \mapsto \int\limits_{U_{n-1}(\mathbb{R})\backslash G_{n-1}(\mathbb{R})} W\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ I_{n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) dg.$$

Since $\mu, \tilde{\mu} \in (V^*)^{P_n(\mathbb{R})}$ and $(V^*)^{P_n(\mathbb{R})} = (V^*)^{G_n(\mathbb{R})}$, see [K, Theorem 1.1], we obtain that $\mu, \tilde{\mu} \in (V^*)^{G_n(\mathbb{R})}$. Clearly, the functionals $\mu, \tilde{\mu}$ are nonzero. The space of $G_n(\mathbb{R})$-invariant continuous functionals on V is one-dimensional, see [AG2, Theorem 8.2.5], thus there exists a proportionality constant $c(\pi) \neq 0$ such that $\tilde{\mu} = c(\pi)\mu$.
The goal of this section is to calculate the proportionality factor $c(\pi)$ by proving the following theorem

Theorem 8.1. *Let π be an irreducible, $G_n(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished representation of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then $c(\pi) = 1$.*

We state now a lemma, which is an archimedean analogue of [O, Lemma 6.1].

Lemma 8.2. *Let π' be an irreducible, unitarizable, generic and $G_n(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished representation of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then there exists an irreducible, unitarizable, generic and $G_n(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished representation π of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that*

$$\gamma(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \times \pi'; \psi) = c(\pi').$$

Note that we already know that for π, π' as in Lemma 8.2 we have $\gamma(\frac{1}{2}, \pi\times\pi', \psi) = 1$. As a result, the equality $c(\pi') = 1$ follows.
The proof of Lemma 8.2 is same to the proof of [O, Lemma 6.1]. However, in the archimedean case, there are convergence issues thatwe need to check.

Proof of Lemma 8.2. Let $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi,\psi)$, $W' \in \mathcal{W}(\pi', \psi^{-1})$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. The idea is to prove an equality of Rankin-Selberg integrals of the type

$$\Psi(\frac{1}{2}, \tilde{W}, \tilde{W'}; \hat{\Phi}) = c(\pi')\Psi(\frac{1}{2}, W, W'; \Phi). \tag{8.1}$$

Actually, it is enough to prove such an equality for a pair of functions $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi,\psi)$ and $W' \in \mathcal{W}(\pi',\psi)$ such that at least one of the integrals $\Psi(\frac{1}{2}, \tilde{W}, \tilde{W'}; \hat{\Phi})$, $\Psi(\frac{1}{2}, W, W'; \Phi)$ is nonzero (and thus both integrals are nonzero).
We will obtain the necessary convergence estimates for every K_n-finite function $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi,\psi)$ and every function $W' \in \mathcal{W}(\pi',\psi)$. By our classification of $G_n(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished representations of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$, the central character ω_π of $G_n(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished representation satisfies $\omega_\pi(-1) = 1$. Thus, by Theorem 5.1, we have an equality

$$\Psi(1-s, \tilde{W}, \tilde{W'}; \hat{\Phi}) = \gamma(s, \pi \times \pi', \psi)\Psi(s, W, W'; \Phi).$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_{G_n(\mathbb{R})}(\pi)$ be such that

$$W(g) = \int\limits_{U_n(\mathbb{R})\backslash U_n(\mathbb{C})} f(ug)\psi^{-1}(u)du.$$

We will prove the absolute convergence of the following integrals at $s = \frac{1}{2}$:

(8.2)

$$\int\limits_{U_n(\mathbb{C})\backslash G_n(\mathbb{C})} |W(g)W'(g)\Phi((0,0,...,0,1)g)||\det g|_{\mathbb{C}}^s dg \leq$$

$$\int\limits_{U_n(\mathbb{C})\backslash G_n(\mathbb{C})} \left(\int\limits_{U_n(\mathbb{R})\backslash U_n(\mathbb{C})} |f(ug)|du \right) |W'(g)\Phi((0,0,...,0,1)g)||\det g|_{\mathbb{C}}^s dg$$

$$= \int\limits_{U_n(\mathbb{C})\backslash G_n(\mathbb{C})} |f(g)W'(g)\Phi((0,0,...,0,1)g)||\det g|_{\mathbb{C}}^s dg$$

$$= \int\limits_{G_n(\mathbb{R})\backslash G_n(\mathbb{C})} |f(g)||\det g|_{\mathbb{C}}^s \left(\int\limits_{U_n(\mathbb{R})\backslash G_n(\mathbb{R})} |W'(hg)\Phi((0,0,...,0,1)hg)||\det h|_{\mathbb{R}}^{2s} dh \right) dg.$$

Indeed, the left-hand side of (8.2) is absolutely convergent by Lemma 5.3 and the integrals in the right-hand side of (8.2) are absolutely convergent by Lemmas 7.1 and 7.3. Using absolute convergence for $s = \frac{1}{2}$ of the integrals appearing in (8.2) we obtain the equality (8.1)by the following argument. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi(\frac{1}{2}, W, W'; \Phi) &= \int\limits_{U_n(\mathbb{C})\backslash G_n(\mathbb{C})} W(g)W'(g)\Phi((0,0,...,0,1)g)|\det g|_{\mathbb{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}} dg \\
&= \int\limits_{U_n(\mathbb{C})\backslash G_n(\mathbb{C})} \left(\int\limits_{U_n(\mathbb{R})\backslash U_n(\mathbb{C})} f(ug)\psi_n^{-1}(u)du \right) W'(g)\Phi((0,0,...,0,1)g)||\det g|_{\mathbb{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}} dg \\
&= \int\limits_{U_n(\mathbb{C})\backslash G_n(\mathbb{C})} f(g)W'(g)\Phi((0,0,...,0,1)g)|\det g|_{\mathbb{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}} dg \\
&= \int\limits_{G_n(\mathbb{R})\backslash G_n(\mathbb{C})} f(g)|\det g|_{\mathbb{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int\limits_{U_n(\mathbb{R})\backslash G_n(\mathbb{R})} W'(hg)\Phi((0,0,...,0,1)hg)|\det h|_{\mathbb{R}} dh \right) dg \\
&\int\limits_{G_n(\mathbb{R})\backslash G_n(\mathbb{C})} f(g)|\det g|_{\mathbb{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}} Z(1, \pi'(g)W', \Phi(\cdot g)|_{\mathbb{R}^n}) dg.
\end{aligned}$$

Define $f^*(g) := f({}^tg^{-1})$. Clearly, $f^* \in \mathcal{C}_{G_n(\mathbb{R})}(\tilde{\pi})$. Applying the change of variables $u \to w_n\,{}^tu^{-1}w_n^{-1}$, and the fact that$f(w_ng) = f(g)$, it follows from the definitions that

$$\tilde{W}(g) = \int\limits_{U_n(\mathbb{R})\backslash U_n(\mathbb{C})} f^*(ug)\psi(u)du.$$

The same computation applied to $\tilde{\pi}$ and $\tilde{\pi}'$ yields

$$\Psi(\frac{1}{2}, \tilde{W}, \tilde{W}'; \hat{\Phi}) = \int\limits_{G_n(\mathbb{R})\backslash G_n(\mathbb{C})} f^*(g)|\det g|_{\mathbb{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}} Z(1, \tilde{\pi}'(g)\tilde{W}', \hat{\Phi}(\cdot g)|_{\mathbb{R}^n})dg.$$

It follows that

$$Z(1, \tilde{\pi}'({}^tg^{-1})\tilde{W}', \hat{\Phi}(\cdot\,{}^tg^{-1})|_{\mathbb{R}^n}) = c(\pi')|\det g|_{\mathbb{C}} Z(1, \pi'(g)W', \Phi(\cdot g)|_{\mathbb{R}^n}).$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\Psi(\frac{1}{2}, \tilde{W}, \tilde{W}'; \hat{\Phi}) = c(\pi')\Psi(\frac{1}{2}, W, W'; \Phi)$$

for every K_n-finite functions $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$, $W' \in \mathcal{W}(\pi', \psi^{-1})$ and every $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. It is well-known that there exists K_n-finite $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$, $W' \in \mathcal{W}(\pi', \psi^{-1})$ such that $\Psi(\frac{1}{2}, W, W'; \Phi) \neq 0$. It follows that $c(\pi') = \gamma(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \times \pi'; \psi)$. □

Appendix A. Generic Langlands quotient

In this section we sketch a proof of the well-known fact that the Langalnds quotient of $I(\chi)$ is generic if and only if $I(\chi)$ is irreducible. This fact follows from the papers of Kostant [Kos] and Vogan [Vog]. For the convenience of the reader we rewrite it here. Similar results for $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ were obtained by Casselman and Zuckerman.
Let $\mathfrak{g} = M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the Lie algebra of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$ and let K be the standard maximal compact subgroup of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Definition 1. *An irreducible $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-module X is called large if its annihilator in the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is a minimal primitive ideal. We will say that a smooth irreducible representation (π, V) of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$ is large if the corresponding $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ module consisting of K-finite vectors in V is large as a $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-module.*

Let $\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2, ..., \chi_n)$ be a character of $B_n(\mathbb{C})$ and suppose $|\chi_j(t)| = |t|^{\lambda_j}$ with $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq ... \geq \lambda_n$. By [Vog, Theorem 6.2], if (σ, W) is an irreducible subrepresentation of $I(\chi)$ then (σ, W) is large. Suppose (π, V) is the Langlands quotient of $I(\chi)$ and suppose (π, V) is generic. Then by Kostant theorem (π, V) is large. On the other hand, [Vog, Corollary 6.7] states that there is a unique large composition factor in the composition series for $I(\chi)$. We obtain $(\pi, V) \simeq (\sigma, W)$ and thus $(\sigma, W) = I(\chi)$, that is, $I(\chi)$ is an irreducible representation.

Appendix B. Gamma Factors: Converse direction

In this section we make some observations on the problem of the following type. Fix a smooth, irreducible, generic and admissible representation (π, V) of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose we know that

$$\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \times \pi', \psi\right) = 1 \tag{B.1}$$

for every $m \leq k$ and every smooth irreducible $G_m(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished representation (π', V') of $G_m(\mathbb{C})$. What should be the minimal k such that (B.1) implies that (π, V) is $G_n(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished? In this section we give an answer to this question in the case (π, V) is a unitary representation.
In the following two theorems we prove that $k = 1$ is enough. Theorem B.1 is a particular case of Theorem B.2. Nevertheless we state it and prove it since the proof of Theorem B.1 is simpler then the proof of Theorem B.2 and in my opinion understanding it simplifies understanding of the proof of Theorem B.2.

Theorem B.1. *Let $\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2, ..., \chi_n)$ be a unitary character of B_n and suppose $\chi_j(z) = |z|_{\mathbb{C}}^{s_j}(z/|z|)^{k_j}$ with s_j purely imaginary and $k_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ for every $1 \leq j \leq n$. Suppose $(\pi, V) = I(\chi)$ is a smooth, generic and irreducible representation of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose*

$$\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \times \chi', \psi\right) = 1$$

for every $\mathbb{R}^\times$-distinguished unitary character $\chi' : \mathbb{C}^\times \to \mathbb{R}^\times$. Then there exists an involution $w \in S_n$ such that $w\chi = \overline{(\chi^{-1})}$. Moreover, one can find an involution $w \in S_n$ such that $w\chi = \overline{(\chi^{-1})}$ and also for every fixed point $w(i) = i$ the integer k_i is even.

Proof. Observe that every $\mathbb{R}^\times$-distinguished unitary character $\chi' : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}^\times$ is of the form $\chi(z) = (z/|z|)^{2m}$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. By [J, Lemma 16.3] we have

$$\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, Ind(\chi) \times \chi', \psi\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_i\chi', \psi\right),$$

where $\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_i\chi', \psi\right)$ is the one-dimensional Tate's gamma factor. Following Tate denote $c_m(z) = (z/|z|)^m$ and recall that the Tate gamma factor is given by

$$\gamma(s, c_m, \psi) = \epsilon_m \frac{(2\pi)^{1-s}\Gamma\left(s + \frac{|m|}{2}\right)}{(2\pi)^s\Gamma\left((1-s) + \frac{|m|}{2}\right)},$$

where

$$\epsilon_m = \begin{cases} 1 & m \text{ is even or } m > 0 \\ -1 & m \text{ is odd and } m < 0 \end{cases}.$$

Let's rewrite the equality $\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, Ind(\chi) \times \chi', \psi\right) = 1$ as

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_{2m+k_i} \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}-s_i}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}+s_i}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + s_i + \frac{|k_i+2m|}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - s_i + \frac{|k_i+2m|}{2}\right)} = 1 \tag{B.2}$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The product in (B.2) breaks into 3 products:

$$p_{m,1} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_{2m+k_i},$$

$$p_{m,2} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}-s_i}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}+s_i}} = (2\pi)^{-2s_1-2s_2-...-2s_n},$$

and

$$p_{m,3} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + s_i + \frac{|k_i+2m|}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - s_i + \frac{|k_i+2m|}{2}\right)}.$$

Note that the term $p_{m,2}$ is constant (does not depend on m) and the term $p_{m,1}$ stabilizes, that is $p_{m,1} = p_{m+1,1}$ for large enough and for small enough m. Also, we have $|k_i + m| = k_i + m$ for m large enough. Let us take m large enough and look at the expression

$$\frac{p_{m+1,1}p_{m+1,2}p_{m+1,3}}{p_{m,1}p_{m,2}p_{m,3}}.$$

By our assumption this fraction equals 1 for every m. For m large enough we have $p_{m+1,1}p_{m+1,2} = p_{m,1}p_{m,2}$, so $\frac{p_{m+1,3}}{p_{m,3}} = 1$. By the functional equation $\Gamma(z+1) = z\Gamma(z)$ we obtain

$$1 = \frac{p_{m+1,3}}{p_{m,3}} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} + s_i + \frac{k_i+2m}{2}\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{2} - s_i + \frac{k_i+2m}{2}\right)}.$$

Thus,

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{2} + s_i + \frac{k_i+2m}{2}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{2} - s_i + \frac{k_i+2m}{2}\right)$$

for large enough $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since both sides are polynomials in m, the polynomials are equal. As a consequence, the zeros of these two polynomials coincide, that is, for every $1 \le i \le n$ there exists $1 \le j \le n$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2} - s_i + \frac{k_i}{2} = \frac{1}{2} + s_j + \frac{k_j}{2}.$$

By our assumption s_i's are purely imaginary and k_i's are integers. Thus, $-s_i = s_j$ and $k_i = k_j$. Note that $\bar{s_i} = -s_i$ and this means exactly that we can define $w(i) = j$ and $w(j) = i$ and $\chi_j = \bar{\chi_i}^{-1} = \chi_{w(i)}$. Therefore there exists an involution $w \in S_n$ such that $w(\chi) = \bar{\chi}^{-1}$.

From the proof of the existence of an involution w it follows also that $\sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j = 0$ and that the products $p_{m,2} = 1$ and $p_{m,3} = 1$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. This establishes the existence of an involution $w \in S_n$ such that $w(\chi) = \bar{\chi}^{-1}$. It remains to establish the second property - existence of such an involution such that in addition for every fixed point $w(j) = j$ of the involution the corresponding integer k_j is even. Note that if i is a fixed point of w then $s_i = 0$. Without loss of generality assume that if $w(i) = i$ and $w(j) = j$, then $k_i \neq k_j$. Otherwise we can define an involution w' by $w'(i) = j, w'(j) = i$ and $w'(l) = w(l)$ for $l \neq i, j$ and the new involution w' also satisfies $w'(\chi) = \bar{\chi}^{-1}$.

Assume on the contrary that $w(i) = i$ but k_i is odd. Then take two consecutive products $p_{m,1}$ and $p_{m+1,1}$ for $m = \frac{-k_i-1}{2}$. Observe that $\epsilon_{2m+k_i} = -\epsilon_{2(m+1)+k_i}$ and the other terms appearing in the products $p_{m,1}$ and $p_{m+1,1}$ equal each other respectively. As a consequence, $p_{m+1,1} = -p_{m,1}$. But from the written above we have $p_{m,2} = p_{m+1,2} = 1$ and also $p_{m,3} = p_{m+1,3} = 1$ and thus $p_{m+1,1} = p_{m,1} = 1$. Contradiction!

Therefore, if $w(i) = i$ then the integer k_i is even, that is $\chi_i(-1) = 1$. □

A small modification of this proof gives a stronger theorem

Theorem B.2. *Let $\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2, ..., \chi_n)$ be a character of B_n and suppose $\chi_j(z) = |z|_{\mathbb{C}}^{s_j}(z/|z|)^{k_j}$ with $-\frac{1}{2} < Re(s_j) < \frac{1}{2}$ and $k_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ for every $1 \leq j \leq n$. Suppose $(\pi, V) = I(\chi)$ is a smooth, generic, irreducible representation of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose*

$$\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \times \chi', \psi\right) = 1$$

for every $\mathbb{R}^\times$-distinguished unitary character $\chi' : \mathbb{C}^\times \to \mathbb{R}^\times$. Then there exists an involution $w \in S_n$ such that $w\chi = \overline{(\chi^{-1})}$. Moreover, one can find an involution $w \in S_n$ such that $w\chi = \overline{(\chi^{-1})}$ and also for every fixed point $w(i) = i$ the integer k_i is even.

Proof. By the same proof as in the previous theorem we obtain that for every $1 \leq i \leq n$ there exists $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2} - s_i + \frac{k_i}{2} = \frac{1}{2} + s_j + \frac{k_j}{2}.$$

By subtracting $\frac{1}{2}$ from both sides of this equality and taking real parts we can replace s_j by $Re(s_j)$. Thus we can assume that for every $1 \leq i \leq n$ we have $-\frac{1}{2} < s_i < \frac{1}{2}$ and also for every $1 \leq i \leq n$ there exists $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $-s_i + \frac{k_i}{2} = s_j + \frac{k_j}{2}$. Let us multiply both sides of this equation by 2 and replace s_i by $2s_i$. Therefore, we can assume that for every $1 \leq i \leq n$ we have $-1 < s_i < 1$ and also for every $1 \leq i \leq n$ there exists $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that

$$-s_i + k_i = s_j + k_j.$$

Let us call this condition "antisymmetry condition". The claim is that the "antisymmetry condition" implies that there exists an involution $w \in S_n$ such that $w(\chi) = \bar{\chi}^{-1}$, that is, if $w(i) = j$ then $s_i = -s_j$ and $k_i = k_j$. The proof of the existence of an involution w is by induction on n. Clearly, for $n = 1$ the condition $-s_1 + k_1 = s_1 + k_1$ gives us $s_1 = 0$ and thus the identity involution $w(1) = 1$ works. For a general n it is enough that the "antisymmetry condition" implies that there is a pair i, j such that $s_i = -s_j$ and $k_i = k_j$. Note that it can be that $i = j$ and then $s_i = -s_i$ implies $s_i = 0$.

Suppose on the contrary that there are $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset (-1, 1)$ and $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \mathbb{Z}$ that satisfy the "antisymmetry condition", but there is no pair of indices $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ that satisfy $s_i = -s_j$ and $k_i = k_j$. In particular, there is some $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $-s_1 + k_1 = s_i + k_i$. By our assumption $i > 1$, so without loss of generality assume $i = 2$. Let us assume $s_1 > 0$. The proof in the case $s_1 < 0$ is similar and $s_1 = 0$ is not possible by our assumption. We obtain $k_1 - k_2 = s_1 + s_2$. The left-hand side is an integer and $-1 < s_1 + s_2 < 2$. Thus $s_1 + s_2 = 0$ or $s_1 + s_2 = 1$. The case $s_1 + s_2 = 0$ is not possible by our assumption, thus $s_1 + s_2 = 1$ and as a corollary $s_2 > 0$ and $k_2 = k_1 - 1$. Similarly, there is some $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $-s_2 + k_2 = s_i + k_i$. By the same argument we obtain $s_i > 0$ and $k_i = k_2 - 1$. Thus $i \neq 1, 2$ and without loss of generality we can assume $i = 3$. Continuing in this manner we obtain an infinite sequence of integers k_j such that $k_j = k_1 + (j - 1)$. Contradiction!

Thus there is a pair of indices $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ such that $s_i = -s_j$ and $k_i = k_j$. Removing them from our sequence of length n we obtain a shorter sequence which satisfies the "antisymmetry condition".

Thus, we have proved that there is an involution $w \in S_n$ such that $w(\chi) = \bar{\chi}^{-1}$. The rest of the argument, that is, the proof of the existence of an involution w such that for every fixed point j of the involution the corresponding integer k_j is even is the same as in the proof of the previous theorem. □

As a corollary, using the Tadic-Vogan classification of the unitary dual of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$ we obtain the following

Theorem B.3. *Let $\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2, ..., \chi_n)$ be a character of B_n and suppose $(\pi, V) = Ind(\chi)$ is smooth, generic, irreducible, and unitary representation of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose*

$$\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \times \chi', \psi\right) = 1$$

for every $\mathbb{R}^\times$-distinguished unitary character $\chi' : \mathbb{C}^\times \to \mathbb{R}^\times$. Then there exists an involution $w \in S_n$ such that $w\chi = \overline{(\chi^{-1})}$. Moreover, one can find an involution $w \in S_n$ such that $w\chi = \overline{(\chi^{-1})}$ and also for every fixed point $w(i) = i$ the integer k_i is even.

Proof. Let us denote $\chi_j(z) = |z|_{\mathbb{C}}^{s_j}(z/|z|)^{k_j}$, where $s_j \in \mathbb{C}$ and $k_j \in \mathbb{Z}$. The theorem follows from Theorem B.2 and the fact that unitaricity of $Ind(\chi)$ implies $-\frac{1}{2} < Re(s_j) < \frac{1}{2}$ for every $1 \leq j \leq n$, see [Tad, Theorem A]. □

Finally, by [Pan, Theorem 3.3.6] we know that an irreducible tempered representation (π, V) of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$ is $G_n(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished if and only if there exists an involution $w \in S_n$ such that $w\chi = \overline{(\chi^{-1})}$ and also for every fixed point $w(i) = i$ the integer k_i is even. Therefore, an irreducible tempered representation (π, V) of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$ is $G_n(\mathbb{R})$-distinguished if and only if

$$\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \times \chi', \psi\right) = 1$$

for every $\mathbb{R}^\times$-distinguished unitary character $\chi' : \mathbb{C}^\times \to \mathbb{R}^\times$.

References

[AG] A. Aizenbud, D. Gourevitch, Schwartz functions on Nash manifolds, Int. Math. Res. Not., 5 (2008), rnm155.

[AG2] A. Aizenbud and D. Gourevitch, Generalized Harish-Chandra descent, Gelfand pairs, and an archimedean analog of Jacquet-Rallis's theorem, Duke Mathematical J. , 2009 , vol. 149 , no. 3, 509-567.

[AGS] A. Aizenbud, D. Gourevitch, E. Sayag, $(\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(F), \mathrm{GL}_n(F))$ is a Gelfand pair for any local field F, *Compositio Math* 2008,vol. 144, 1504-1524.

[AL] A. Aizenbud, E. Lapid Appendix D of [FLO]: Distinguished representations in the Archimedean case.

[CHH] M., Cowling, U. Haagerup, R. Howe, Almost L^2 matrix coefficients, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik 387 (1988), 97-110.

[CF] J. W. S. Cassels, A. Fröhlich, Algebraic number theory, Washington DC (1967).

[Flen] M. Flensted-Jensen, Discrete series for semisimple symmetric spaces, Annals of Mathematics (1980), 253-311.

[Fli1] Y. Flicker, Twisted tensors and Euler products; Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France 116 (1988), 295-313.

[Fli2] Y. Flicker, On zeroes of the twisted tensor L-function; Mathematische Annalen 297 (1993), 199-219.

[FLO] B. Feigon, E. Lapid, O. Offen, On representations distinguished by unitary groups. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 115 (2012), 185-323.

[J] H. Jacquet, Archimedean Rankin-Selberg integrals, Contemporary Mathematics, Volume 14 (2009), 117 pages.

[K] A. Kemarsky, Distinguished representations of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, Israel Journal of Mathematics (2013), accepted.

[KasKob] F. Kassel, T. Kobayashi. "Poincaré series for non-Riemannian locally symmetric spaces.", arXiv:1209.4075.

[Kob] T. Kobayashi, A generalized Cartan decomposition for the double coset space $(U(n_1)\times U(n_2)\times U(n_3))\backslash U(n)/(U(p)\times U(q))$, J. Math. Soc. Japan, Volume 27, Number 3 (2007), 669-691.

[Kos] B. Kostant, On Whittaker vectors and representation theory, Inventiones mathematicae 48.2 (1978): 101-184.

[LM] E. M. Lapid, Z. Mao, On a new functional equation for local integrals, Proceedings of the Conference on Automorphic Forms and Related Geometry: Assessing the Legacy of I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro, Contemp. Math., vol. to appear, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, Available at http://www. ma. huji. ac. il/ erezla/publications. html. 2012

[LR] E. M. Lapid, J. D. Rogawski Periods of Eisenstein series: The Galois case, Duke Math. J. Volume 120, Number 1 (2003), 153-226.

[O] O. Offen, On local root numbers and distinction , J. Reine Angew. Math 652 (2011), 165-205.

[Ok] Y. Ok, Distinction and gamma factors at 1/2: supercuspidal case, Ph.D. Thesis, Columbia University, 1997.

[Pan] M.N. Panichi, Charactérisations du spectre tempéré de $GL_n(\mathbb{C})/GL_n(\mathbb{R})$, http://www.institut.math.jussieu.fr/theses/2001/panichi/ , Ph.D. Thesis, Paris 7 University, 2001.

[S] J.P. Serre, Galois Cohomology, Springer, 2002.

[Spr] T.A. Springer, Some Results on Algebraic Groups with Involutions, Mathematisch Institut der Rksuniversiteit, 1984.

[Tad] M. Tadic, Unitary representations of general linear group over real and complex field, preprint MPI/SFB: 85-22.

[T] F. Treves, Topological vector spaces, distributions and kernels, Purdue University,1967.

[Wal1] N. Wallach, Real Reductive groups I , Pure and Applied Math. **132-I**, Academic Press, Boston, MA (1988).

[Vog] Vogan, David A. "Gelfand-Kirillov dimension for Harish-Chandra modules." Inventiones mathematicae 48.1 (1978): 75-98.

[Wal2] N. Wallach, Real Reductive groups II , Pure and Applied Math. **132-II**, Academic Press, Boston, MA (1992).

Mathematics Department, Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, 32000 Israel

E-mail address: alexkem@tx.technion.ac.il